



COUNTY OF YOLO
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
JEFF W. REISIG, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Date: September 9, 2021

Contact: Jonathan Raven

Jonathan.raven@yolocounty.org

Bilingual Spanish Representative available
@ (530) 666-8356

**Yolo DA Announces the Official Launch of its
Race Blind Charging Program**

(Woodland, CA) – September 9, 2021 – The Yolo County District Attorney held a press briefing on Thursday morning, September 9, 2021, to announce the official launch of a first-of-its-kind Race Blind Charging program.

Over the past few years, Yolo DA has had robust discussions with community members about the implicit or explicit bias that may occur in the criminal justice system. Prosecutors have nearly absolute discretion to charge or dismiss criminal cases. There is concern that these high-stakes judgments may suffer from explicit or implicit racial bias, as with many other such actions in the criminal justice system. *Yolo DA decided to address this potential problem with the Race Blind Charging program.*

Multi-Cultural Community Council Chair, Tessa Smith stated, “Embedding this race redaction algorithm into the Yolo DA’s digital case management system takes the potential for bias out of charging decisions, and may serve to reduce racial disparities at a crucial entry point into our criminal justice system. Stanford Computational Policy Lab has created a tool for us to systematically reduce opportunities for conscious or unconscious human bias to further our goal of equity and justice for all.”

When police reports are submitted by a law enforcement agency to the District Attorney requesting charges, a Deputy District Attorney reviews the report to determine whether the case can be proved beyond a reasonable doubt, and if so, a criminal complaint with those charges will be filed.

To reduce potential bias based on race in charging decisions, the Stanford Computational Policy Lab (SCPL) designed a computer program that automatically redacts most information in police reports that identify an individual’s race.

In Race Blind Charging (RBC), the deputy DA initially reviews the redacted report.

Original narrative

Lucy Johnson reported that a Black male with brown hair wearing a black jacket assaulted her in Midtown, next to Johnson's home. She reported the incident to Officer Lee.

Automatically redacted narrative

[Victim 1] reported that a [race] male with [hair color] wearing a black jacket assaulted her in [neighborhood], next to [Victim 1]'s home. She reported the incident to [Officer 1].

After reading the redacted report, the Deputy DA answers questions prompted by the program. The first question is whether the redaction was "Good" or "Bad," meaning were there any words not redacted that would identify the race of the suspect. If the redaction is "Bad," the Deputy DA explains why.

The screenshot shows a web application titled "Race Blind Charging Information". At the top, there are input fields for "Entry #", "Received Date", "Offense Date", and "Attorney". Below these are sections for "Report Narratives", "Questions", and "Initial Assessment". The "Narrative" section contains a detailed report text with redaction markers. The "Quality of Redaction" section has a dropdown menu with "Good" and "Bad" options. The "Initial Assessment" section includes dropdowns for "Defendants" and "Charge / Reject". At the bottom right, there are "Save Race Blind Charging Information" and "Cancel" buttons.

Entry #	Received Date	Offense Date	Attorney
281599	06/01/2021	05/22/2021	Carolyn J. Palumbo

Report Narratives: [Dropdown]

Questions

Quality of Redaction: [Dropdown]

Initial Assessment

Defendants	Charge / Reject
DEFENDANT 1	[Dropdown]
DEFENDANT 2	[Dropdown]

Save Race Blind Charging Information | Cancel

Next, the Deputy DA will be prompted to state how likely it is the case will be charged.

Race Blind Charging Information

Entry # 281599 Received Date 06/01/2021 Offense Date 05/22/2021 Attorney Carolyn J. Palumbo

Report Narratives: [Dropdown]

Questions

Quality of Redaction: Good

If you are unable to make an initial assessment, please specify a reason: [Dropdown]

Initial Assessment

Defendants: DEFENDANT 1, DEFENDANT 2

Charge / Reject: [Dropdown menu with options: Very Likely Charge, Likely Charge, Likely Reject, Very Likely Reject]

Save Race Blind Charging Information Cancel

Finally, the Deputy DA reviews the unredacted report. If the Deputy DA modifies the charges, the program prompts the Deputy DA to explain the reasons for the changes.

Charges

Agency Charges

DA Charges

Race Blind Charging Decision

Defendant	Initial Assessment	Final Decision (Explain the reason for the difference)
[Redacted]	Very Likely Charge	[Text Area]

Save Charging Decisions

Charge Outcomes

The data from each case is compiled by SCPL which then analyzes it to determine whether any conscious or unconscious bias was a factor in charging the case.

SCPL worked with the Yolo DA to incorporate this computer program in their charging workflow to help prosecutors make race-obscured charging decisions on incoming misdemeanor and felony cases. Currently, the program is in its pilot stage and the law enforcement agencies participating in the program are the West Sacramento and Davis Police Departments. To our knowledge, this is the first time a DA's office has ever built a race redaction algorithm directly into their case management system.

District Attorney Jeff Reisig stated, "People across the country have made it clear that they want meaningful reform in the criminal justice system, especially when it comes to eliminating the insidious effects of racial bias in all forms. Through our groundbreaking public/private collaboration with Stanford Computational Policy Lab, and with the input of our own community, we are proud to unveil a new technology that is squarely designed to help mitigate against racial bias in a critical stage of the criminal justice process. By using a 'first-of-its-kind' Race Blind Charging software program, we will ensure that our decisions on whether to charge someone with a crime are not infected by any real or perceived bias. We believe this innovation will also help improve public confidence in the procedural fairness of the criminal justice system in our county. We are excited to invite prosecutors across California and the nation to join us in implementing this innovative reform."

Alex Chohlas-Wood, the executive director of the Stanford Computational Policy Lab stated, "The Stanford Computational Policy Lab is pleased that the Yolo County District Attorney partnered with our lab to expand the use of our race redaction algorithm. In the coming months, we will measure any impacts it may have on reducing potential bias in charging decisions in Yolo County."

Davis Police Department Chief Darren Pytel stated, "Bias has no place in the criminal justice system. Race blind charging is an important component of ensuring that prosecutors rely only on the facts of a particular case before filing charges and not have bias, either conscious or unconscious, play a factor in their decision making. The Davis Police Department is proud to partner with the Yolo County District Attorney's Office on this program."

West Sacramento Police Chief Rob Strange added, "The West Sacramento Police Department is proud to be part of this significant change in our local criminal justice system and how we are working to collectively confront and reform any aspects of our procedures where implicit bias may creep into our work. Surfacing these potential issues will enable us to make meaningful changes that help ensure a more equitable and just system."

###