F

| | NON-VIOLE | IT SECON | DOMANENDE | Sicional Crain | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | s berga wa | 1615 | | |
| NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER INFORMATION | | | | | |
| Review Type: | Decision Review Admin Revie | W | | Date Initiate | d: July 7, 2016 |
| | | | | | |
| | | 1994 - 1999 1994 - 1999 | N. ANTALL | | |
| Inmate Name: | BLOXHAM, CHRISTOPHER, RY | AN | | | |
| CDCR Number: | AL3772 | | | | |
| Institution: | Sierra Conservation Center | | | | |
| | | Mar 1 | | | |
| | В | PH DECIS | ON REVIEW | | 11. 1912 - March |
| | | | | | |
| Decision U | pheld | "Harris " | | | |
| X Decision V | acated | · Dearth.c. | as proved to | | |
| - | | Contraction of the | | | |
| States - | | COMM | MENTS | C. Harney | Carl States |
| second strike cri rect second strike ving a sentence i sdemeanor unde e decision incorre rect second strike | test the District Attorney cites to me in the decision is the wrong e crime is HS 13351, possession for burglary 2nd out of Stanislau r Proposition 47. Actly cites to the burglary 2nd cor e offense of possession for sale r should be an aggravating factor | offense. The on for sales of s County- but nviction as the es of controlle | e decision cites to of a controlled sub t it is not the secon e second strike of ed substance. The | burglary 2nd, a Stanis stance, from Yolo Co nd strike crime and w fense and omits entir | slaus County case. The unty. The inmate is also as later reduced to a |
| nate's 115 for pos er a careful review rected could lead ong offense and e nducted with the o cate the approval | session of marijuana. of the inmate's case factors un to a substantially different decis ffected the entire decision. The correct commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r efore, the ap a new review | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas | the commitment offer ror is found to be a su view and approval dec ill be vacated and a n | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if cision was premised on the ew review of the case will be |
| er a careful review rected could lead ong offense and e iducted with the o cate the approval | session of marijuana. of the inmate's case factors un to a substantially different decis ffected the entire decision. The correct commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r efore, the ap a new review | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas | the commitment offer for is found to be a surview and approval dec ill be vacated and a n e factors using the co Septe | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if tision was premised on the ew review of the case will be rrect commitment offense. |
| er a careful review rected could lead ong offense and e aducted with the o cate the approval | session of marijuana. of the inmate's case factors un to a substantially different decis ffected the entire decision. The correct commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r efore, the ap a new review | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas | the commitment offer for is found to be a surview and approval dec ill be vacated and a n e factors using the co Septe | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if cision was premised on the ew review of the case will be rrect commitment offense. |
| nate's 115 for pos er a careful review rected could lead ong offense and e nducted with the c | of the inmate's case factors un to a substantially different decis effected the entire decision. The correct commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r efore, the ap a new review | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas | the commitment offer for is found to be a surview and approval dec ill be vacated and a n e factors using the co Septe | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if tision was premised on the ew review of the case will be rrect commitment offense. |
| nate's 115 for pos er a careful review rected could lead ong offense and e nducted with the o cate the approval Rumlans & SIGNATURE | to a substantially different decision of marijuana. The of the inmate's case factors until to a substantially different decision. There or rect commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r refore, the ap a new review or review on th | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas | the commitment offer for is found to be a surview and approval dec ill be vacated and a n e factors using the co Septe | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if tision was premised on the ew review of the case will be rrect commitment offense. |
| The second secon | of the inmate's case factors un to a substantially different decis effected the entire decision. The correct commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r refore, the ap a new review or review on th | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas ne merits. | the commitment offer for is found to be a surview and approval dec ill be vacated and a n e factors using the co Septe | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if tision was premised on the ew review of the case will be rrect commitment offense. |
| er a careful review rected could lead ong offense and e aducted with the o cate the approval Bundans f SIGNATURE | of the inmate's case factors un to a substantially different decis effected the entire decision. The correct commitment offense and decision and order a new NVSS | sion upon a r refore, the ap a new review review on th contents a for se | ction 2042, this en re-review. This re- oproval decision w w of the entire cas ne merits. | the commitment offer for is found to be a surview and approval dec ill be vacated and a n e factors using the co Septe | er argues the inmate's nse has a nexus to the bstantial error and if tision was premised on the ew review of the case will be rrect commitment offense. |

udi 2 of tor ung laslahad un in u

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER DECISION FORM

NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER INFORMATION

the second second second

2.42.

A MIL.

| Inmate Name: | BLOXHAM, CHRISTOPHER, RYAN |
|--------------|----------------------------|
| CDCR Number: | AL3772 |
| Institution: | Sierra Conservation Center |

BPH DECISION JURISDICTIONAL REVIEW BPH does not have jurisdiction, no further review. X BPH has jurisdiction. **REVIEW ON THE MERITS** Recommendation to release approved. X Recommendation to release denied. Decision based on the reasons stated below: Decision prepared by DC Mahoney. Issue: Does the inmate pose an unreasonable risk of violence if released? Statement of Reasons: Current Commitment Offense: The inmate's current commitment offense is a mitigating factor in this case. The 2nd strike offense is HS11351-possession of a controlled substance for sales, a term of 6 years. On 5/15/2011, the police found the inmate slumped over in the driver's seat of a car with his wife and young child also in the vehicle. In the car police found a smoking pipe, 61.96 grams of marijuana, 5.39 grams of heroin, a digital scale, ziplock baggies and a realistic BB gun that looked like a firearm under the seat. It should be noted that while out on bail for this offense, the inmate committed a 2nd degree burglary (PC459) which was later reduced to a misdemeanor pursuant to Proposition 47. There are no aggravating circumstances related to the current commitment offense. The mitigating circumstances related to the current commitment offense are: no weapon was used; there was no violence or threat of violence; there was no physical injury to any victims. When balancing the lack of aggravating circumstances and the mitigating circumstances, the current offense is a mitigating factor. Prior Criminal Record: The inmate's prior criminal record is an aggravating factor in this case. The inmate's prior criminal history began in 2000 and continued until the 2nd strike crime in 2011. The relevant felony convictions are: PC212.5c-robbery 2nd degree with a firearm (2000); PC182(A)(1)/626.9(B)-conspiracy to possess a firearm near a school (2000) (inmate supplied a gun and planned, with codefendants to rob a store in order to get money to buy marijuana. After the robbery they were stopped by police near an elementary school and the co-defendant fired the gun supplied by the inmate at a police officer.); and the PC 459 (2011) and the current commitment offense. The aggravating circumstances related to the prior criminal record are: The inmate's first strike for PC212.5c-robbery 2nd degree is a violent felony offense pursuant to PC667.5(c); 2) the inmate was not free from incarceration for 5 years prior to the second strike offense (the inmate was last released from incarceration 6/21/07 and the second strike crime occurred on 5/15/2011) and the inmate has been convicted of more than 3 prior felony convictions (although one was reduced to misd). The mitigating circumstances related to the prior criminal record are: 2) the inmate does not have multiple serious felony convictions pursuant to PC1192.7 or violent felony convictions pursuant to PC667.5(c). When balancing the aggravating circumstances and the mitigating circumstances, the inmate's prior criminal record is an aggravating factor in the case. Behavior/Rehabilitative Programming: The inmate's behavior/rehabilitative programming is an aggravating factor in this case. The inmate has

been incarcerated on the second strike offense since 5/03/2012. During this incarceration the inmate has suffered the

NOTEST

n aloiskimped e Int filhy elpe: 61.96 or the line a firence

BPH 1047 (C) (Rev. 11/03/2014)

Page 1 of

2

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER DECISION FORM

J. J. Willy sess stitution relations.

nd 95e, 61.96 . . .

REVIEW ON THE MERITS

following negative circumstances: 4 rules violations: (1/11/2016 for possession of tobacco; 11/13/2014 for possession of marijuana; 12/16/2013 for possession of tobacco; 2/21/2013 for extortion). During this incarceration the inmate has participated in or completed the following positive programming: a substance abuse program, anger management, a parenting class, college classes, and work as a camp firefighter. After balancing the positive factors against the negative factors, the inmate's institutional record does not show overall compliance with institutional rules and programs and therefore is an aggravating factor in the case. He has received numerous rules violations including for one for possession of marijuana which was the motive for the first strike crime and drugs were also an integral part of his second strike crime and shows a pattern of criminal behavior. Response to Legal Notices There were responses from the inmate's mother and grandmother in support of release and from the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in opposition to the release and they all were considered in the decision. Decision: When balancing the aggravating factor in this case, the prior criminal record and the inmate's behavior/rehabilitative programming, with the mitigating factor, the second strike crime, the aggravating factors outweighs the mitigating factor. The inmate's first strike for 2nd degree robbery was violent and the motive was to obtain money to buy marijuana. The second strike crime was for possession of drugs for sale. The inmate has failed to remain disciplinary free in prison and has received a rules violation for possession of marijuana. The inmate is still willing to violate the rules in order to obtain marijuana which is similar to the events of the first strike crime and the second strike crime. Therefore, the inmate does pose an unreasonable risk of violence to the community and release is denied.

Runda S Data SIGNATURE

September 28, 2016

REVIEW DATE

SKIPPER-DOTTA RHONDA NAME

of topical in positive a cy and a reas a constitute ortage storal of the source of brock He has r. 1. 102

ymanic AN Th

ON

wère cort 1 and and the immais a ting factors outwenter in

Diey :

s mary

BPH 1047 (C) (Rev. 11/03/2014)

Selfter : the tational and the WARSHE WARD WAR Stored a state of the second tlang-r in the

Page 2 of 2