BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

P.O. BOX 4036 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-4036 (916) 445-4072





OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YOLO 301 2ND ST. WOODLAND, CA 95695

Subject: NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER RELEASE DECISION

Inmate's Name: BLOXHAM, CHRISTOPHER, RYAN

CDCR#: AL3772 COURT CASE#: CRF112653

The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) is mandated by court order to have a parole process that allows certain offenders convicted of a "second strike" based on a non-violent offense to be eligible for parole after serving 50% of their term. It is for this reason the inmate referenced above was referred to the Board of Parole Hearings (Board) from CDCR with a recommendation for release. The Board reviewed the inmate's record and the Board's decision is to approve.

Please direct any inquiries concerning the inmate to the institution where the inmate is housed.

Respectfully,

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS NVSS Processing Unit

RECEIVED

AUG 2 9 2016

Yolo County District Attorney

NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER DECISION FORM

NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER INFORMATION

Inmate Name:

BLOXHAM, CHRISTOPHER, RYAN

CDCR Number: AL3772

Institution:

Sierra Conservation Center

BPH DECISION		
	JURISDICTIONAL REVIEW	
X	BPH does not have jurisdiction, no further review. BPH has jurisdiction.	
REVIEW ON THE MERITS		
X	Recommendation to release approved. Recommendation to release denied. Decision based on the reasons stated below: Decision: Upon consideration of each factor, discussed in detail below, the aggravating and mitigating factors tend to show that he does not pose an unreasonable risk of violence to the community. Release is approved. Statement of Reasons:	

Case Factor #1 - Current Commitment Offense

The inmate's current commitment offense is a mitigating factor in this case. The current commitment offense is PC §459, burglary in the second degree. Inmate entered Home Depot with the intent to steal so that he could sell something to provide money for food for he and his wife and daughter. When balancing the aggravating circumstances of which there are none and the mitigating circumstances which are: (1) no weapon was used in the commitment offense; (2) there was no violence or threat of violence in the commitment offense; (3) there was no physical injury to the victim in the commitment offense; (4) the commitment offense involved property damage or theft. The inmate's current offense is a mitigating factor.

Case Factor #2 - Prior Criminal Record

The inmate's prior criminal history began in 2000 when he was convicted of PC §211, PC §182(a)(1) and PC §626.9 and continued until the current commitment offence in 2012. The inmate's prior criminal record is an aggravating factor in this case. When balancing the aggravating circumstances which are: (1) The inmate's first strike was a violent offense pursuant to PC §667.5(c) or serious offense pursuant to PC §1192.7; (2) the inmate has been convicted of more than 3 felony convictions -PC §182, PC §211, PC §626.9 I 2000; H&S §11351 in 2012; (3) the inmate was not free from incarceration for 5 years prior to the second strike offense-he paroled on 6/21/2007 and reoffended on 1/10/2012; and the mitigating circumstances which is that the inmate does not have multiple serious felony convictions pursuant to PC §1192.7 or violent felony convictions pursuant to PC §667.5(c); the inmate's prior criminal record an aggravating factor in this case.

Case Factor #3 - Institutional Adjustment

NON-VIOLENT SECOND STRIKER DECISION FORM

REVIEW ON THE MERITS

The inmate has been incarcerated on the current commitment offence since 5/3/2012. During incarceration the inmate has suffered the following negative factors: a 115 for tobacco in 2016, a 115 for marijuana in 2014, a 115 for possession of tobacco in 2013, a 115 for extortion in 2013. During incarceration the inmate has participated in the following positive programming: voluntary college, faith groups, physical fitness training, firefighter training, parenting/family support, anger management, and works as a camp laborer. After balancing the positive and negative factors and giving greater weight to the positives, the inmate's institutional adjustment shows overall compliance with institutional rules and programs and therefore is a mitigating factor in this case.

Case Factor #4 - Medical Condition

The inmate does not suffer from a medical condition that would decrease his ability to reoffend.

Case Factor #5 - Responses to Legal Notices

There was a response from the Yolo County District Attorney's Office to the legal notices in opposition to release. The letter was read and considered as well as letters in support of his release.

Summary: When balancing the aggravating factor in this case of prior criminal record and the mitigating factors of current commitment offence and institutional adjustment coupled with his work as a fire camp laborer, the mitigating factors outweigh the aggravating factor. His only violent crime was committed when he was age 18, 15 years ago. The inmate does not pose an unreasonable risk of violence to the community and release is approved.

	August 25, 2016 REVIEW DATE
SIGNATURE	
KLINK, STEPHEN	
NAME	